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ERIC D. PERL, Lessened by Addition: Procession by Diminution in Proclus and Aquinas

Aquinas’s doctrine of creatures as participants of *esse* in relation to God as *ipsum esse* is structurally parallel to Proclus’s triadic schema of participating, participated, and unparticipated terms. For Proclus, a multiplicity of participated terms are diminished relative to their unparticipated monad in that each is confined to its participant. Since all things exist by participating one, unparticipated one itself is the first principle of all things. For Aquinas, all things exist by participating *esse*, and the *esse* of each is contracted to its participant. Hence unparticipated *esse* itself is the first principle. In both Proclus and Aquinas, therefore, we must “take away” all things, as participants of one or *esse*, from the first principle, leaving no thought whatever that grasps the first itself. Aquinas’s understanding of creation as the posteriority of all things as participants of *esse* to God as *ipsum esse* is thus altogether Platonic and Procline in character.

RICHARD VELKLEY, The Fate of Human Action: The Agency of “Reason” in Modern Philosophy

STEPHEN H. WATSON, Hermeneutics and the Retrieval of the Sacred: Hegel’s Giotto (with an Eye toward Mark Rothko’s)

LISA LANDOE HEDRICK, McDowell, Whitehead, and the Metaphysics of Agency

In this article, the author argues that the reason the problem of intentionality has persisted in contemporary analytic philosophy is because of an inadequate ontology of judgment and perception. This ontology stands in need of a corrective, so that we might render inferential practices continuous with other ways of relating to one’s world. John McDowell has recognized this need, pointing out the almost incredible way in which, for instance, Robert Brandom claims that it is merely a contingent matter that discourse is bounded by perception and action, and that it could in principle exist without them. This isolative error common to contemporary analytic philosophy perpetuates the problem of intentionality and, therefore, agency. The article proceeds by showing how Alfred North Whitehead’s aesthetic ontology can serve as a corrective. Whitehead’s thought helps us to restore legitimacy to the idea of causal efficacy in perception—a crucial step toward a realist account of meaning and reference and, thereby, agency.